

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,648	12/05/2003	Young Kweon Choi	428.1036 2815	
	7590 01/12/200 LUCAS AND MERCA	EXAMINER		
475 PARK AVI NEW YORK, N	ENUE SOUTH	KRASS, FREDERICK F		
NEW TORK, I	VI 10010		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/729,648	CHOI ET AL.	CHOI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Frederick Krass	1614			

-	Frederick Krass	1614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 December 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire 1 Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compailing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	•
<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>			uie issues ioi
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		00.00 0.0	
4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1  5. ☒ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)  6. ☒ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 3 would be allowa	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co : Obviousness rejection of claim 3	only.	
allowable claim(s).  7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to: 3.  Claim(s) rejected: 1.2 and 5-15.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	·		
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>		n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		•
13.		70/2	
	•	Primary Examiner	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

- 1) The examiner does not agree with Applicant's characterization of claim 1 as requiring complexes of both polymers. (Remarks, page 6, last paragraph). All that claim 1 requires is a mixture of polymers and generally characterized, unspecified "complexes"; there is too little structural detail recited to justify Applicant's claim interpretation. Accordingly, the examiner stands by his position that some "complex" formation is inherent. (Remarks, page 7, last three paragraphs). The examiner does agree with Applicant, however, that unexpected results have been shown. (Remarks, page 7, paragraphs 2 and 3). But, since all comparisons run by Applicant use a combination of 1-10% (meth)acrylic acid copolymer and 40-80% polyvinylpyrrolidone, that evidence is probative only for claim 3 (as based on claim 1 as currently amended).
- 2) As is clear from the above discussion, the examiner hereby withdraws the rejection of claim 3 over prior art. Claim 3 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include the required limitations of any intervening claims.